UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself □) United States of America			DEFENDANTS Imperial Toy Corporation, a corporation, and Fred Kort, an individual					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (Except in U.S. Plaintiff Cases):			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (In U.S. Plaintiff Cases Only): Los Angeles					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same.) Amy E. Goldfrank, United States Department of Justice, Office of Consumer Litigation, 1331 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Suite 950N			fice of	Attorneys (If Known) Harvey Friedman, Esq. Greenberg, Glusker, Fields, Claman, Machtinger & Kinsella, LLP				
Washington, DC 20002				1900 Avenue of the St Los Angeles, CA 9000	ars; 21st I			
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTIO	ON (Place an X in one box only.)			HIP OF PRINCIPAL PA			ses Only	
☑ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party	<i>i</i>)	Citizen of This S		ΓF DE F 1 □ 1	Incorporated or of Business in		PTF I lace □ 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendar	nt 4 Diversity (Indicate Citiz of Parties in Item III)	zenship	Citizen of Anoth	er State	2 🗆 2	Incorporated ar of Business in		
			Citizen or Subject	ct of a Foreign Country	3 🗆 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in or								
☐ 1 Original ☐ 2 Remov Proceeding State C			einstated or	Transferred from another	district (spo	Dis	ilti- 🗆 7 strict igation	7 Appeal to Dis Judge from Magistrate Ju
V. REQUESTED IN COMPI	AINT: JURY DEMAND: 🗆	Yes 🗵	No (Check 'Yes	only if demanded in comp	olaint.)			
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C	C.P. 23: ☐ Yes 🕱 No		\square N	ONEY DEMANDED IN	COMPLA	AINT: \$		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Ci	te the U.S. Civil Statute under wh	ich you	are filing and wri	te a brief statement of cause	e. Do not o	cite jurisdictional	statutes unl	ess diversity.)
	on under the Federal Hazardou he introduction and/or deliver							nowingly
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place								
OTHER STATUTES	CONTRACT		TORTS	TÓRTS	PI	RISONER		LABOR
☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust	☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	120715-2535-668	SONAL INJURY	PATE NO. 12.15.250145.524.527999999999999999	C	ETITIONS	1	r Labor Standa
☐ 430 Banks and Banking	☐ 130 Miller Act		Airplane Airplane Produc	PROPERTY t □ 370 Other Fraud	YA	Aotions to lacate Sentence	Acı □ 720 Lal	
☐ 450 Commerce/ICC	☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument		Liability	□ 371 Truth in		Habeas Corpus		lations
Rates/etc.	☐ 150 Recovery of	□ 320	Assault, Libel &		□ 530 C		□ 730 Lal	
☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced	Overpayment & Enforcement of	□ 330	Slander Fed. Employers'	☐ 380 Other Personal Property		Death Penalty Aandamus/	1	porting & sclosure Act
and Corrupt	Judgment		Liability	Damage	1	Other	1	ilway Labor Ac
Organizations	☐ 151 Medicare Act	3	Marine	☐ 385 Property	I .	Civil Rights		ner Labor Litiga
☐ 810 Selective Service ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities	☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl.	□ 345	Marine Product Liability	Damage Product	4680104617017605x1567504.600	rison Condition FEITURE /	1	pl. Ret. Inc. curity Act
/Exchange	Veterans)	□ 350	Motor Vehicle	Liability	279200000000000000000000000000000000000	ENALTY		ERTY RIGHTS
□ 875 Customer Challenge 12	☐ 153 Recovery of	□ 355	Motor Vehicle	BANKRUPTCY	06	griculture	□ 820 Co _l	
USC 3410 □ 891 Agricultural Act	Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	□ 360	Product Liability Other Personal	/ ☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158		other Food & Orug	□ 830 Pat □ 840 Tra	
☐ 892 Economic Stabilization	☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits		Injury	☐ 423 Withdrawal 28	1	Orug Related	AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	AL SECURITY
Act □ 893 Environmental Matters	190 Other Contract	□ 362	Personal Injury-	USC 157	E	eizure of	□ 861 HIA	, ,
☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act	☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	□ 365	Med Malpractico Personal Injury-	CIVIL RIGHTS ☐ 441 Voting	**	roperty 21 USC 81	□ 862 Bla □ 863 DIV	ck Lung (923)
☐ 895 Freedom of Information	REAL PROPERTY		Product Liability	□ 442 Employment	□ 630 L	iquor Laws		5(g))
Act ☐ 900 Appeal of Fee Determi-	☐ 210 Land Condemnation☐ 220 Foreclosure	□ 368	Asbestos Person	1		.R. & Truck		D Title XVI
nation Under Equal	☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment		Injury Product Liability	mmodations ☐ 444 Welfare		Airline Regs Occupational	□ 865 RSI FEDER	l (405(g)) AL TAX SUIT
Access to Justice	☐ 240 Torts to Land		•	☐ 440 Other Civil	S	afety /Health	□ 870 Tax	ces (U.S. Plaint
☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property			Rights	⊠ 690 C	ther		Defendant) 5-Third Party 26
☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions							l	C 7609
VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES:	Has this action been previously f	iled and	l dismissed, remai	nded or closed? 🗆 No 🛚 K	Yes			
If yes, list case number(s):								
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Case Number:							

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM JS-44C, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

VIII(b). RELATED CASE	S: Have any cases been pr	reviously filed that are related to the present case? 💆 No 🗆 Yes		
If yes, list case number(s):				
	ated if a previously filed case and the present case: □ A. Appear to arise from the same or substantially identical transactions, happenings, or events; □ B. Involve the same or substantially the same parties or property; □ C. Involve the same patent, trademark or copyright; □ D. Call for determination of the same or substantially identical questions of law, or □ E. Likely for other reasons may entail unnecessary duplication of labor if heard by different judges.			
IX. VENUE: List the Califor		er than California, in which EACH named plaintiff resides (Use an additional sheet if necessary) aployees is a named plaintiff.		
☐ Check here if the U.S. go	vernment, its agencies or e ion, Los Angeles Count	nia, in which EACH named defendant resides. (Use an additional sheet if necessary). mployees is a named defendant.		
List the California County, Note: In land condemnation c		fornia, in which EACH claim arose. (Use an additional sheet if necessary) are tract of land involved.		
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTO		7		
or other papers as require	ed by law. This form, appr	ivil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings roved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not urpose of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions		
Key to Statistical codes relating	ng to Social Security Cases			
Nature of Suit	Code Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action		
861	AIH	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))		
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969 (30 U.S.C. 923)		
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))		
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))		
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.		
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))		

NAME, ADDRESS & TELEPHONE NUMBER OF ATTORNEY(S) FOR, OR, PLAINTIFF OR DEFENDANT IF PLAINTIFF OR DEFENDANT IS PRO PER Amy E. Goldfrank United States Department of Justice Office of Consumer Litigation 1331 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Suite 950N Washington, DC 20002 (202) 307-0050 ATTORNEYS FOR: Plaintiff UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CASE NUMBER United States of America 03 - 3448Plaintiff(s), Imperial Toy Corporation, CERTIFICATION AND NOTICE a corporation, and Fred Kort, OF INTERESTED PARTIES an individual (Local Rule 83-1.5) Defendant(s) TO: THE COURT AND ALL PARTIES APPEARING OF RECORD:

The undersigned, counsel of record for United States of America

(or party appearing in pro per), certifies that the following listed party (or parties) has (have) a direct, pecuniary interest in the outcome of this case. These representations are made to enable the Court to evaluate possible disqualification or recusal. (Use additional sheet if necessary.)

PARTY

CONNECTION

(List the names of all such parties and identify their connection and interest.)

Imperial Toy Corporation Fred Kort

Defendant

Defendant

___5/15/03 Date Sign & Doldhank / hif

Amy E. Goldfrank

Attorney of record for or party appearing in pro per

1	DEBRA W. YANG			
2	United States Attorney			
3	ROBERT D. MCCALLUM, JR. Assistant Attorney General	S OF TO THE STATE OF THE STATE		
4	Civil Division U.S. Department of Justice			
5	AMY E. GOLDFRANK	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		
6	Trial Attorney U.S. Department of Justice	(***) 00 70a (***) 00 102 (***) 00 104		
7	Office of Consumer Litigation	500 - 200 -		
8	P.O. Box 386 Washington, D.C. 20044	RT		
9	Tel: (202) 307-0050 Fax: (202) 514-8742			
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
11				
12	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
13	Tott IIII CENTICE DIDI	native and the second s		
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	NO 03 - 3448		
15	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES,		
16	v.)	INJUNCTION AND OTHER RELIEF		
17	IMPERIAL TOY CORPORATION,)			
18	a corporation, and FRED KORT,) an individual,			
19	Defendants.)	·		
20				
21	Plaintiff, the United States	of America, by its undersigned		
22	attorneys, for its complaint alleges that:			
23	JURISDICTION	AND VENUE		
24	1. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant			
25	to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1345 and 135	55(a).		

under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c).

Venue in the Central District of California is proper

NATURE OF THE CLAIM

- 3. Plaintiff brings this action under the Federal Hazardous Substances Act ("FHSA"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 1264(c) and 1267(a), to recover civil penalties and to obtain injunctive relief for defendants knowingly introducing or causing the introduction and/or delivery for introduction into interstate commerce of banned hazardous substances, and/or the receipt in interstate commerce of banned hazardous substances and the delivery or proffered delivery thereof for pay or otherwise, in violation of the FHSA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1263 (a) and (c).
- 4. Plaintiff administers the FHSA and related regulations through the United States Consumer Product Safety Commission ("Commission" or "CPSC"). The CPSC is an independent federal agency that was created to protect the public against unreasonable risks of injury from consumer products. 15 U.S.C. §§ 2051(b)(1) and 2053(a).

DEFENDANTS

- 5. Defendant Imperial Toy Corporation ("Imperial Toy") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of California, located at 2060 East 7th Street, Los Angeles, California, 90021. Imperial Toy is a manufacturer, importer, and distributor of children's toys that are subject to the requirements of the FHSA and the regulations issued thereunder.
- 6. Defendant Fred Kort is the president of Imperial Toy and, as such, is the individual responsible for ensuring compliance with the requirements of the FHSA and the regulations

issued thereunder. At times relevant to this Complaint, Fred Kort knew of, and had authority to control the acts and practices of, Imperial Toy.

BACKGROUND

- 7. The FHSA and regulations issued thereunder ban, inter alia, toys that present a mechanical hazard because of the risk of choking, aspiration or ingestion. 15 U.S.C. §§ 1261(f)(1)(D), 1261(q)(1)(A), 1261(s); 16 C.F.R. § 1500.18(a)(9) and 16 C.F.R. Part 1501 ("small parts regulations").
- 8. Under the FHSA and the small parts regulations, toys and other articles intended for use by children under three years of age are banned hazardous substances if they fail to meet specified test criteria. Under these criteria, no portion of a toy may separate and produce small parts that fit entirely into the specified test fixture, before or after the toy is subjected to use and abuse tests at 16 C.F.R. §§ 1500.50 1500.52.

VIOLATIONS OF THE FEDERAL HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES ACT COUNT ONE

- 9. On or about May 20, 1998, defendants introduced or caused the introduction and/or delivery for introduction into interstate commerce, and/or the receipt in interstate commerce and the delivery or proffered delivery thereof for pay or otherwise, of 4,320 units of the Candy Road Machine, Product 4669, which is a banned hazardous substance.
- 10. The product identified in paragraph 9 is a "toy or other article intended for use by children" that "presents . . .

[a] mechanical . . . hazard" and is therefore a "hazardous substance" within the meaning of the FHSA. 15 U.S.C. \$\$ 1261(f)(1)(D) and 1261(s).

- 11. The Commission's experts found that the toy identified in paragraph 9 is intended for use by children under three years of age and thus is subject to the small parts regulations.
- 12. The toy identified in paragraph 9 fails to meet the test criteria for small parts because when it was subjected to the applicable use and abuse testing, portions of the toy separated and produced small parts that fit entirely into the specified test fixture. Accordingly, the toy is a "banned hazardous substance" within the meaning of the FHSA and the small parts regulations.
- 13. On or about June 24, 1998, the Commission notified the defendants that the toy identified in paragraph 9 was a banned hazardous substance and that its importation violated the FHSA.
- 14. Notwithstanding the notice identified in paragraph 13, defendants subsequently continued, on numerous occasions, to introduce or cause the introduction and/or delivery for introduction into interstate commerce, and/or the receipt in interstate commerce and the delivery or proffered delivery thereof for pay or otherwise, of more than 20,000 units of the Candy Road Machine, Product 4669.

COUNT TWO

15. On or between October 4, 2000 and October 11, 2000, defendants introduced or caused the introduction and/or delivery

for introduction into interstate commerce, and/or the receipt in interstate commerce and the delivery or proffered delivery thereof for pay or otherwise, of 5,760 units of the Cuddles Feeding Set, Product 8327, which is a banned hazardous substance.

- 16. The product identified in paragraph 15 is a "toy or other article intended for use by children" that "presents . . .

 [a] mechanical . . . hazard" and is therefore a "hazardous substance" within the meaning of the FHSA. 15 U.S.C.

 §§ 1261(f)(1)(D) and 1261(s).
- 17. The Commission's experts found that the toy identified in paragraph 15 is intended for use by children under three years of age and thus is subject to the small parts regulations.
- 18. The toy identified in paragraph 15 fails to meet the test criteria for small parts because when it was subjected to the applicable use and abuse testing, portions of the toy separated and produced small parts that fit entirely into the specified test fixture. Accordingly, the toy is a "banned hazardous substance" within the meaning of the FHSA and the small parts regulations.
- 19. On or about May 24, 2001, the Commission informed the defendants that the toy identified in paragraph 15 was a banned hazardous substance and that its importation violated the FHSA.

CIVIL PENALTIES

20. Defendants knowingly introduced or caused the introduction and/or delivery for introduction into interstate commerce, and/or the receipt in interstate commerce and the

delivery or proffered delivery thereof for pay or otherwise, of numerous banned hazardous substances. Accordingly, defendants knowingly violated 15 U.S.C. § 1263 and therefore are subject to civil penalties for each and every such violation pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1264(c).

INJUNCTION

21. Based on defendants' past and present courses of conduct, there is a substantial likelihood that, unless restrained by order of this Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1267(a), defendants will continue to introduce or cause the introduction, and/or delivery for introduction into interstate commerce, and/or receipt in interstate commerce and delivery or proffered delivery thereof for pay or otherwise, of banned hazardous substances in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1263(a) and (c).

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court:

- 1. Assess civil penalties against defendants in accordance with the FHSA, 15 U.S.C. § 1264(c), for violating the FHSA, 15 U.S.C. § 1263, by knowingly introducing or causing the introduction and/or delivery for introduction into interstate commerce, and/or receipt in interstate commerce and delivery or proffered delivery thereof for pay or otherwise, of banned hazardous substances, as alleged in this Complaint.
- 2. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1267(a), permanently restrain and enjoin defendants, and each and all of their officers,

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	
2	5	
2	6	
2	7	
2	8	

agents, employees, attorneys, successors and assigns, and those persons in active concert or participation with them, from directly or indirectly introducing or causing the introduction and/or delivery for introduction into interstate commerce, and/or the receipt in interstate commerce and the delivery or proffered delivery thereof for pay or otherwise, of banned hazardous substances in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1263(a) and (c).

3. Grant plaintiff judgment for its costs and such other and further relief as it deems necessary and proper.

DATED:

Respectfully submitted,

DEBRA W. YANG United States Attorney

ROBERT D. MCCALLUM, JR. Assistant Attorney General Civil Division U.S. Department of Justice

AMY E. GOLDFRANK
Trial Attorney
U.S. Department of Justice
Office of Consumer Litigation
P.O. Box 386
Washington, D.C. 20044
(202) 307-0050

1	1 OF COUNSEL:	
2	General Councel	
4	ALAN CHARTN	
5	PATRICIA E. KENNEDY	
6 7	U.S. Consumer Product	
8	Bethesda, MD 20814	
9	9 Attorneys for Plaintiff	
10	0	
11	1	
12	2	
13	3	
14	4	
15	5	
16	.6	
17	7	
18	8	
19	9	
20	0	
21	1	
22	2	
23	3	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	8	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify under a penalty of perjury that on the fifteenth day of May, 2003, I sent by Federal Express a copy of the Complaint for Civil Penalties, Injunction and Other Relief and the Notice of Interested Parties properly addressed to:

Harvey Friedman, Esq.
Greenberg, Glusker, Fields, Claman,
Machtinger & Kinsella, LLP
1900 Avenue of the Stars
21st Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067

Alexander Belser

Paralegal Specialist

United States Department of Justice

Office of Consumer Litigation

P.O. Box 386

Washington, D.C. 20044

```
DEBRA W. YANG
    United States Attorney
    ROBERT D. MCCALLUM, JR.
 3
    Assistant Attorney General
    Civil Division
    U.S. Department of Justice
 5
    AMY E. GOLDFRANK
    Trial Attorney
 6
    U.S. Department of Justice
    Office of Consumer Litigation
    P.O. Box 386
    Washington, D.C. 20044
    Tel: (202) 307-0050
    Fax: (202) 514-8742
10
    Attorneys for Plaintiff
11
                   IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12
                  FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13
                                                   03 - 3448
                                             NO.
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
14
                                             COMPLAINT FOR
15
                         Plaintiff,
                                             CIVIL PENALTIES,
                                             INJUNCTION AND
16
              v.
                                             OTHER RELIEF
17
    IMPERIAL TOY CORPORATION.
    a corporation, and FRED KORT,
18
    an individual,
19
                        Defendants.
20
         Plaintiff, the United States of America, by its undersigned
21
22
    attorneys, for its complaint alleges that:
23
                          JURISDICTION AND VENUE
24
         1.
              This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant
25
   to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1345 and 1355(a).
26
```

under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c).

27

28

Venue in the Central District of California is proper

1.3

NATURE OF THE CLAIM

- 3. Plaintiff brings this action under the Federal Hazardous Substances Act ("FHSA"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 1264(c) and 1267(a), to recover civil penalties and to obtain injunctive relief for defendants knowingly introducing or causing the introduction and/or delivery for introduction into interstate commerce of banned hazardous substances, and/or the receipt in interstate commerce of banned hazardous substances and the delivery or proffered delivery thereof for pay or otherwise, in violation of the FHSA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1263 (a) and (c).
- 4. Plaintiff administers the FHSA and related regulations through the United States Consumer Product Safety Commission ("Commission" or "CPSC"). The CPSC is an independent federal agency that was created to protect the public against unreasonable risks of injury from consumer products. 15 U.S.C. §§ 2051(b)(1) and 2053(a).

DEFENDANTS

- 5. Defendant Imperial Toy Corporation ("Imperial Toy") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of California, located at 2060 East 7th Street, Los Angeles, California, 90021. Imperial Toy is a manufacturer, importer, and distributor of children's toys that are subject to the requirements of the FHSA and the regulations issued thereunder.
- 6. Defendant Fred Kort is the president of Imperial Toy and, as such, is the individual responsible for ensuring compliance with the requirements of the FHSA and the regulations

issued thereunder. At times relevant to this Complaint, Fred Kort knew of, and had authority to control the acts and practices of, Imperial Toy.

BACKGROUND

- 7. The FHSA and regulations issued thereunder ban, inter alia, toys that present a mechanical hazard because of the risk of choking, aspiration or ingestion. 15 U.S.C. §§ 1261(f)(1)(D), 1261(q)(1)(A), 1261(s); 16 C.F.R. § 1500.18(a)(9) and 16 C.F.R. Part 1501 ("small parts regulations").
- 8. Under the FHSA and the small parts regulations, toys and other articles intended for use by children under three years of age are banned hazardous substances if they fail to meet specified test criteria. Under these criteria, no portion of a toy may separate and produce small parts that fit entirely into the specified test fixture, before or after the toy is subjected to use and abuse tests at 16 C.F.R. §§ 1500.50 1500.52.

VIOLATIONS OF THE FEDERAL HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES ACT

COUNT ONE

- 9. On or about May 20, 1998, defendants introduced or caused the introduction and/or delivery for introduction into interstate commerce, and/or the receipt in interstate commerce and the delivery or proffered delivery thereof for pay or otherwise, of 4,320 units of the Candy Road Machine, Product 4669, which is a banned hazardous substance.
- 10. The product identified in paragraph 9 is a "toy or other article intended for use by children" that "presents . . .

[a] mechanical . . . hazard" and is therefore a "hazardous substance" within the meaning of the FHSA. 15 U.S.C. §§ 1261(f)(1)(D) and 1261(s).

- 11. The Commission's experts found that the toy identified in paragraph 9 is intended for use by children under three years of age and thus is subject to the small parts regulations.
- 12. The toy identified in paragraph 9 fails to meet the test criteria for small parts because when it was subjected to the applicable use and abuse testing, portions of the toy separated and produced small parts that fit entirely into the specified test fixture. Accordingly, the toy is a "banned hazardous substance" within the meaning of the FHSA and the small parts regulations.
- 13. On or about June 24, 1998, the Commission notified the defendants that the toy identified in paragraph 9 was a banned hazardous substance and that its importation violated the FHSA.
- 14. Notwithstanding the notice identified in paragraph 13, defendants subsequently continued, on numerous occasions, to introduce or cause the introduction and/or delivery for introduction into interstate commerce, and/or the receipt in interstate commerce and the delivery or proffered delivery thereof for pay or otherwise, of more than 20,000 units of the Candy Road Machine, Product 4669.

COUNT TWO

15. On or between October 4, 2000 and October 11, 2000, defendants introduced or caused the introduction and/or delivery

for introduction into interstate commerce, and/or the receipt in interstate commerce and the delivery or proffered delivery thereof for pay or otherwise, of 5,760 units of the Cuddles Feeding Set, Product 8327, which is a banned hazardous substance.

- 16. The product identified in paragraph 15 is a "toy or other article intended for use by children" that "presents . . . [a] mechanical . . . hazard" and is therefore a "hazardous substance" within the meaning of the FHSA. 15 U.S.C. §§ 1261(f)(1)(D) and 1261(s).
- 17. The Commission's experts found that the toy identified in paragraph 15 is intended for use by children under three years of age and thus is subject to the small parts regulations.
- 18. The toy identified in paragraph 15 fails to meet the test criteria for small parts because when it was subjected to the applicable use and abuse testing, portions of the toy separated and produced small parts that fit entirely into the specified test fixture. Accordingly, the toy is a "banned hazardous substance" within the meaning of the FHSA and the small parts regulations.
- 19. On or about May 24, 2001, the Commission informed the defendants that the toy identified in paragraph 15 was a banned hazardous substance and that its importation violated the FHSA.

CIVIL PENALTIES

20. Defendants knowingly introduced or caused the introduction and/or delivery for introduction into interstate commerce, and/or the receipt in interstate commerce and the

delivery or proffered delivery thereof for pay or otherwise, of numerous banned hazardous substances. Accordingly, defendants knowingly violated 15 U.S.C. § 1263 and therefore are subject to civil penalties for each and every such violation pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1264(c).

INJUNCTION

21. Based on defendants' past and present courses of conduct, there is a substantial likelihood that, unless restrained by order of this Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1267(a), defendants will continue to introduce or cause the introduction, and/or delivery for introduction into interstate commerce, and/or receipt in interstate commerce and delivery or proffered delivery thereof for pay or otherwise, of banned hazardous substances in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1263(a) and (c).

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court:

- 1. Assess civil penalties against defendants in accordance with the FHSA, 15 U.S.C. § 1264(c), for violating the FHSA, 15 U.S.C. § 1263, by knowingly introducing or causing the introduction and/or delivery for introduction into interstate commerce, and/or receipt in interstate commerce and delivery or proffered delivery thereof for pay or otherwise, of banned hazardous substances, as alleged in this Complaint.
- 2. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1267(a), permanently restrain and enjoin defendants, and each and all of their officers,

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	
2	5	
2	6	
2	7	
2	8	

agents, employees, attorneys, successors and assigns, and those persons in active concert or participation with them, from directly or indirectly introducing or causing the introduction and/or delivery for introduction into interstate commerce, and/or the receipt in interstate commerce and the delivery or proffered delivery thereof for pay or otherwise, of banned hazardous substances in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1263(a) and (c).

3. Grant plaintiff judgment for its costs and such other and further relief as it deems necessary and proper.

DATED:

Respectfully submitted,

DEBRA W. YANG United States Attorney

ROBERT D. MCCALLUM, JR. Assistant Attorney General Civil Division U.S. Department of Justice

AMY E. GOLDFRANK
Trial Attorney
U.S. Department of Justice
Office of Consumer Litigation
P.O. Box 386
Washington, D.C. 20044

(202) 307-0050

OF COUNSEL: WILLIAM H. DUROSS, III General Counsel ALAN SHAKIN Assistant General Counsel PATRICIA E. KENNEDY Attorney U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission Bethesda, MD 20814 Attorneys for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify under a penalty of perjury that on the fifteenth day of May, 2003, I sent by Federal Express a copy of the Complaint for Civil Penalties, Injunction and Other Relief and the Notice of Interested Parties properly addressed to:

Harvey Friedman, Esq.
Greenberg, Glusker, Fields, Claman,
Machtinger & Kinsella, LLP
1900 Avenue of the Stars
21st Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067

Alexander Belser

Paralegal Specialist

United States Department of Justice Office of Consumer Litigation

P.O. Box 386

Washington, D.C. 20044